

In the United States Court of Federal Claims  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS  
No. 21-946V

CLEMMIE L. JOHNSON,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: August 28, 2023

*Scott B. Taylor, Urban & Taylor, S.C., Milwaukee, WI, for Petitioner.*

*Tyler King, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

**RULING ON ENTITLEMENT<sup>1</sup>**

On February 18, 2021, Clemmie L. Johnson filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that he suffered left shoulder injuries related to vaccine administration (SIRVA) resulting from an influenza (flu) vaccination he received on January 23, 2020. Petition at 1. Petitioner further alleges that the vaccination was administered within the United States, he suffered the residual effects of his SIRVA for more than six months, and neither he, nor anyone else on his behalf has previously collected an award or settlement of a civil action for damages and no previous civil action has been filed in this matter. Petition at 1, 9-10. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

<sup>1</sup> Because this Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

On August 8, 2023, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Respondent concluded that “[P]etitioner’s alleged injury is consistent with SIRVA as defined by the Vaccine Injury Table.” *Id.* at 5. Specifically, Respondent determined that “[P]etitioner had no history of pain, inflammation, or dysfunction of his left shoulder prior to vaccination; pain occurred within 48 hours after receipt of an intramuscular vaccination; pain was limited to the shoulder in which the vaccine was administered; and no other condition or abnormality has been identified to explain [P]etitioner’s shoulder pain.” *Id.* Respondent further agrees that Petitioner suffered the residual effects of his injury for more than six months. *Id.*

**In view of Respondent’s position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master